

## DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 468 017

EC 309 150

AUTHOR Smith, J. David; Pare, David; Gravelle, Francine  
TITLE Alternatives to School Suspension: An Intervention for At-Risk Students.  
PUB DATE 2002-04-00  
NOTE 23p.; Symposium presented at the Annual Meeting of the American Educational Research Association (New Orleans, LA, April 1-5, 2002).  
PUB TYPE Reports - Descriptive (141) -- Speeches/Meeting Papers (150)  
EDRS PRICE EDRS Price MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.  
DESCRIPTORS \*Aggression; Behavior Change; \*Behavior Disorders; Counseling Techniques; Foreign Countries; \*Group Therapy; High Risk Students; High School Students; High Schools; Locus of Control; Physical Activities; \*Physical Recreation Programs; \*Self Control; Self Management; \*Student Responsibility; Violence  
IDENTIFIERS \*Judo; \*Ontario (Ottawa)

## ABSTRACT

This report describes the Eclipse program, which was developed for middle school students with school-behavior problems. The program combines group counseling and judo training, a non-violent sport that promotes social, psychological, and physical development. During the program, groups of approximately 15-20 students participate for 1 hour each day in alternating sessions of counseling and judo over a 10-week period. Since 1999, Eclipse has been offered in 6 different schools in the Ottawa region. An evaluation of the program was conducted in a high school with special programming for youth with academic difficulties. The school had integrated Eclipse into their grade 9 curriculum, meaning all grade 9 students (n=50) were required to participate. Students with higher than average aggressive tendencies upon entry to Eclipse reported reductions in their aggressiveness at program completion. In addition, students who began the program with a tendency to attribute responsibility for personal experiences to external factors reported a shift toward taking more personal responsibility for their actions over the course of the Eclipse program. In contrast, students of average or low aggressiveness and students with an internal locus control at the beginning of Eclipse did not report any systematic pattern of change on these variables. (Contains 10 references.) (CR)

ED 468 017

## Alternatives to School Suspension: An Intervention for At-Risk Students

J. David Smith (Chair) and David Paré  
University of Ottawa  
Ottawa, Ontario, Canada

Francine Gravelle  
Youth Services Bureau of Ottawa  
Ottawa, Ontario, Canada

Symposium presentation to the annual convention of the  
American Educational Research Association

New Orleans, Louisiana  
April, 2002

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND  
DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS  
BEEN GRANTED BY

D. Smith

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES  
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

1

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION  
Office of Educational Research and Improvement  
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION  
CENTER (ERIC)

- ☒ This document has been reproduced as  
received from the person or organization  
originating it.
- ☐ Minor changes have been made to  
improve reproduction quality.

- Points of view or opinions stated in this  
document do not necessarily represent  
official OERI position or policy.

### Program Summary

Recent acts of extreme violence in some North American schools have focused society's attention on the issue of youth aggression and violence. In response, schools are increasingly instituting "zero-tolerance" disciplinary policies and are suspending students in rapidly increasing numbers. Initial research data suggest that school suspension is not an effective remedy for student behaviour problems. Suspension further marginalizes troubled youth and can lead to poorer achievement. Clearly, schools need effective alternatives to suspension to deal with student behavior problems. The Eclipse program was developed for middle schools students with school-behavior problems. The program combines group counselling and judo training. Group counselling captures some of the complex social dynamics that underlie anti-social behaviour. Judo (literally "the gentle way") is a non-violent sport that promotes social, psychological, and physical development. The first presenter will open this symposium with a multi-media presentation of the Eclipse program that explores the synergism between group counseling and judo training. The second and third presenters will describe the methods and findings of (respectively) the quantitative and qualitative components of a collaborative program evaluation. Finally, the discussant will facilitate dialogue on the challenges of helping at-risk youth through school-based programs like Eclipse in light of current school realities.

## Alternatives to School Suspension: Introduction

David Smith (Chair), University of Ottawa

While recent acts of extreme violence in North American schools have defied simple and rationale explanation, they have also drawn society's attention to an under-examined problem in our schools. The details behind these tragic events indicate that they are often the culmination of continuous abuse and social marginalization endured for long periods of time by vulnerable young people. It has become disturbingly evident that these desperate, violent acts arise from school environments rife with bullying and aggression.

Schools are clearly preoccupied with youth aggression, and many use a variety of strategies to address the problem. Strategies can roughly be categorized as either punitive or remedial. Punitive measures, primarily suspension and expulsion, appear to be the most common strategy schools use to combat youth aggression (Roberts & Morotti, 2000). Data suggest, however, that these measures are largely ineffective for dealing with problem behavior in schools (Costenbader & Markson, 1998; Dupper, 1998). One troublesome finding is that members of disadvantaged groups (i.e., African and Native Americans, students from financially impoverished families, and students with cognitive and emotional difficulties) are over-represented in samples of suspended students (Morrison & D'Incau, 1997; Skiba, Peterson, & Williams, 1997). Clearly, schools need alternatives to punishment to deal effectively with school violence and bullying.

In the last couple of decades several large-scale anti-bullying programs have been developed and implemented in schools, and their impact has been systematically assessed in outcome studies. In Norway, evaluation data on Olweus' widely implemented anti-bullying program showed substantial reductions of bullying and other types of anti-social behavior as well as observable improvements in the social atmosphere in classrooms (Olweus, 1997). Unfortunately, the resounding success of Olweus' program in Norway has not yet been replicated in other countries. An evaluation of a similar anti-bullying program in Toronto schools yielded mixed outcomes (Pepler, Craig, Ziegler, & Charach, 1994). For example, students reported decreasing occurrences of bullying over the evaluation period; however, more children were victimized based on their race, and the number of children who bullied others actually increased in the same period. Similarly, researchers in Belgium who studied an adapted version of Olweus' program in primary and secondary schools found a pattern of positive outcomes for the program in the primary schools but zero outcomes in the secondary schools (Stevens, De Bourdeaudhuij, & Van Oost, 2000). In England, the Sheffield anti-bullying project, which is based on principles similar to the Norwegian program, initially showed positive outcomes in evaluation data (Smith & Sharp, 1994). However, a subsequent study of the longer-term impact of this program in four schools revealed a mixed pattern of outcomes: Two schools showed continuous reductions in bullying and two showed increases in bullying behaviour over the three year period following program implementation (Eslea & Smith, 1998).

In summary, studies of anti-bullying and anti-violence programs show that some youth benefit from the interventions and others do not. Much of the research on anti-aggression programs focuses exclusively on program impacts. Impact studies indicate only if a program has achieved particular outcomes or not; typically, they reveal little about what is happening inside a program or why a program is effective or ineffective. Consequently, the knowledge generated by impact studies tends to be of limited usefulness to professionals who want to develop effective

interventions. The limited available data suggest, though, that who delivers a program, who receives a program, and how the program is implemented have significant implications for program effectiveness.

Over the past year and half, we have been developing a process evaluation study the goal of which is to look inside a school-based anti-aggression program called Eclipse. We have been piloting features of the evaluation framework over the past year in preparation for full implementation of the evaluation study in the Fall of 2002. In this symposium we will introduce the Eclipse program, and we will report findings from our pilot evaluation. We will also talk about the challenges we have encountered in the course of our work and the adjustments we have made. We are very interested in engaging with those present in a discussion of the topic of youth aggression, how professionals can help aggressive youth, and how we can study this in a comprehensive and revealing manner. We are primarily concerned with conducting research that will be useful to people who develop and implement aggression-reduction programs for youth. To accomplish this, we will ground our work in the principles of action research. Action research is a form of scientific inquiry that addresses problems of practice and involves close collaboration between researchers and practitioners with common professional interests. Its goals are to simultaneously contribute to knowledge and improve practice, making it particularly suitable for investigating solutions to youth aggression. Secondly, we will study in a comprehensive way how the programs work and what benefits they yield. Our investigation will focus on program processes, specifically, on how the interventions are implemented and how institutional contexts influence outcomes. In our analyses we will explore the links between implementation and organizational variables, on the one hand, and the specific outcomes students evidence, on the other. We also will identify those program ingredients that have the greatest positive influence on outcomes. Finally, we expect to derive from these findings a set of principles to guide the future development of effective interventions for aggressive youth.

## References

- Costenbader, V., & Markson, S. (1998). School suspension: A study with secondary school students. *Journal of School Psychology, 36*, 59-81.
- Dupper, D. R. (1998). An alternative to suspension for middle school youths with behavior problems: Findings from a "school survival" group. *Research on Social Work Practice, 8*, 354-366.
- Eslea, M., & Smith, P. K. (1998). The long-term effectiveness of anti-bullying work in primary schools. *Educational Research, 40*, 203-218.
- Morrison, G. M., & D'Incou, B. (1997). The web of zero-tolerance: characteristics of students who are recommended for expulsion from school. *Education and Treatment of Children, 20*, 316-335.
- Olweus, D. (1997). Tackling peer victimization with a school-based intervention program. In D. P. Fry, K. Bjoerkqvist, et al. (Eds.), *Cultural variation in conflict resolution: Alternatives to violence* (pp. 215-231). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Pepler, D. J., Craig, W. M., Ziegler, S., & Charach, A. (1994). An evaluation of an anti-bullying intervention in Toronto schools. *Canadian Journal of Community Mental Health, 13*, 95-110.
- Roberts, W. B., & Morotti, A. A. (2000). The bully as victim: Understanding bully behaviors to increase the effectiveness of interventions in the bully-victim dyad. *Professional School Counseling, 4*, 148-155.
- Skiba, R. J, Peterson, R. L, & Williams, T. (1997). Office referrals and suspension: Disciplinary intervention in middle schools. *Education and Treatment of Children, 20*, 295-315.
- Smith, P. K. & Sharp, S. (1994). *School bullying: Insights and perspectives*. London: Routledge.
- Stevens, V., De Bourdeaudhuij, I., & Van Oost, P. (2000). Bullying in Flemish schools: An evaluation of anti-bullying intervention in primary and secondary schools. *British Journal of Educational Psychology, 70*, 195-210.

Francine Gravelle, Youth Services Bureau of Ottawa

# Eclipse program

## Background

School violence in Canada is a major concern for many parents, students and teachers. A few schools in Ontario have implemented programs to combat school violence, but most lack the resources to support at risk or complex need youth. A large number of young people are not receiving the social skills training they need to help them handle conflict and power imbalances with peers, family members, teachers, employers and the community. Poorly managed anger is frequently a factor in assaults and other violent crimes that lead young people into the criminal justice system. Even when a student's anger does not result in violence, it can lead to school suspension, broken family relationships, poor grades and other diminished opportunities if expressed in unconstructive ways.

## Program outline

Eclipse is a aggression-prevention program for at risk youth offered jointly by the Youth Services Bureau of Ottawa and Judo Canada in partnership with the schools of the four local boards. The intervention program combines group counselling, which emphasis communication skills, emotional self-monitoring, and responsible decision making, with judo training, which promotes social, psychological, and physical development. The target clientele for the intervention programs middle-school students aged 12-15 years. Groups of approximately 15-20 students participate for one hour each day in alternating sessions of counselling and judo over a 10 weeks period.

## Program goals

### Individual outcomes

Improves participants attendance and reduces aggressive behaviours.

Help develop and maintain appropriate behaviours.

Provides youth with a sense of self-control in the face of provocation.

Increases self-esteem and self-control.

Gets adolescents to participate in positive/recreational activities.

### Community outcomes

Establishes community partnerships.

Involves parents, school staff and youth serving agencies in the progress of the young people.

As required, links students and parents to other services support systems.



## Eclipse program overview

Since 1999 Eclipse has been offered in six different schools in the Ottawa region both from the francophone and anglophone school boards. At the Ottawa Technical Learning Center (McArthur) Eclipse has been delivered to all grade nine students as part of their curriculum. Students get a credit for their participation in both components of the program. The counselling component is facilitated by a school staff and a counsellor from Youth Services Bureau for the duration of the program. The school staff is also encouraged to take part in the judo training with their students. The judo training is provided by a certified and qualified judo instructor referred by Judo Canada.

## Partners of Eclipse

### Youth Services Bureau

- In partnership with the four school boards identify school to host the program
- Provide trained Eclipse staff
- Provide training to school personnel for counselling component
- Manage the program
- Follow-up with participants and parents as required.

### School boards

- Provide credit to students enrolled in Eclipse
- Provide a teacher/guidance counsellor to participate in the program delivery
- Provide a space for the counselling groups and the "dojo" for the judo training.
- Help with project promotion.

### Judo Canada

- Train and ensure adequate supply of judo instructors.
- Promote the project
- Participate in the steering committee

## Partners of Eclipse con't

### University of Ottawa

- Develop an evaluation framework and data collection tools
- Supervise data collection and entry.
- Analyze results and present reports to Eclipse staff and the steering committee.

## Program feedback

*"The program is teaching me that there are other ways to express myself when I get angry. I walk away from a situation if I'm angry and give myself time to calm down and then I can deal with the issue."*(Eclipse participant)

*"This is definitely a positive and valuable resource for our students. In fact, we NEED this program."* (Eclipse Principal)

*'He used to answer back rough and now it's completely different, he knows how to answer back without yelling or talking back, he used to keep too much inside and now he is able to talk to us about it...a lot of things.'* (Comment from a parent)

### The cost per participant

The cost per participant per week to take part in the Program is 31.41\$. The uniforms are provided for the duration of the program.



## Evaluating the Eclipse Program: Quantitative Methods and Findings

David Smith, University of Ottawa

### *Method*

*Instruments.* The process of selecting instruments for this evaluation study began with a discussion with program developers on what they believed were the primary anticipated outcomes for Eclipse. Ultimately, two constructs were selected for examination in the quantitative component of the evaluation: aggression and locus of control. We then identified several possible measures that were well established standardized instruments (with norms) and are widely used in similar research. Program personnel vetted the instruments for appropriateness, particularly in terms (a) their length—they had to be short—and (b) language—they had to be readable by adolescent participants, many of whom had significant reading difficulties. In the end the following two instruments were selected:

1. The Nowicki-Strickland Locus of Control Scale (NSLC) is a self-report instrument that measures whether individuals tend to attribute their experiences to their own decisions and actions (internal locus of control) or to factors outside of their control (external locus of control). For this study, we used the 19-item version for children in grades 7-12.
2. The Aggression Questionnaire (AQ) is a self-report instrument that measures respondents' aggressive tendencies in five different domains: physical aggression, verbal aggression, anger, hostility, and indirect aggression. We used the 15-item research version of the instrument for this study.

To broaden the scope of our evaluation of program outcomes, we are also collecting information on school attendance and office disciplinary referrals. Data on these indices are collected for all student participants beginning three-months prior to their starting the program and ending three-months post-program. Because of the length of this timeframe (and, additionally, because of recent procedural changes in how these data are tracked in the school), we do not have sufficient information to report findings at this time.

*Procedure.* The two questionnaires, the AQ and the NSLC, are administered twice during each program rotation: once at the beginning of the program and approximately 10 weeks later at the termination of the program. All data on these measures are analyzed in light of determining the amount and direction of change from pre-test to post-test on the constructs.

### *Adjustments to Method*

We piloted this evaluation in a high school with special programming for youth who have a record of academic difficulties. The school had integrated Eclipse into their grade 9 curriculum, meaning that all grade 9 students are required to participate in the program (although participation in the evaluation study is optional). At data collection sessions for early program rotations involved in this study, we observed the following:

- A large minority of students were very slow in completing the questionnaires, taking up to a full hour to complete the questionnaires (totaling 34 single-sentence items).
- Many students required substantial individual help to understand the items.
- A few individuals known to program staff to have significant reading problems did not ask for help.
- Many completed questionnaires had one or more blank items.
- Some questionnaires were returned blank.

These observations led us to be concerned about whether or not student-participants were adequately comprehending questionnaire items. We made several adjustments to enhance students' comprehension of the questionnaires, including the following:

- We altered the visual presentation of questionnaires, making them simpler and reducing potential distractions (e.g., additional items on the AQ). We enlarged the print so it was easy to read.
- A counsellor now reads the questionnaire items aloud one-by-one while students follow along on the form and mark their responses.
- Other program personnel are present in the classroom to provide individual assistance to students when required.

Recent reports from the counsellors who administer the instruments suggest that the effects of these changes are positive: students seem to be completing the questionnaires without difficulty.

### *Results*

NSLC measures taken just prior to the start of Eclipse show that the female and male participants in this study had a more internally oriented locus of control compared to the norm group: Girls ( $N = 14$ ) scored 10.3 compared to norm of 12.3 and boys ( $N=35$ ) scored 9.1 compared to norm of 13.8. On the AQ, overall aggression scores for boys and girls in our sample were squarely average compared to youth in the general population. The mean T-score was 50 for girls and 51 for boys. Boys and girls scores on the NSLC and the AQ did not differ significantly, so data from both gender groups are combined in all subsequent analyses.

It was predicted at the outset of this study that students who complete the program would learn to take more personal responsibility for their behaviour and become less aggressive. We expected that these outcomes would be manifested in lower aggression scores and lower (i.e., more internal) locus of control scores from pre-test to post-test. Our results do not support these hypotheses when the sample data is examined as a whole. On the NSLC, the pre-test scores did not differ significantly from post-test scores,  $t(43) = 1.7, p = .10$ . Similarly on the AQ, pre-test scores for the sample did not differ significantly from post-test scores,  $t(42) = .90, p = .38$ .

Given the original mandate of the Eclipse program to help aggressive youth and the fact that our participants as a group did not fit this profile, we decided to split the sample into groups based on levels of aggression and locus of control at intake and then make pre-test/post-test comparisons across sub-groups within each of these variables. We hypothesized that students resembling the profile of clientele for which Eclipse was initially developed (i.e., aggressive, externally-oriented youth) would show reductions on these measures and the remaining students not resembling this profile would show no change. To this end, two locus-of-control groups were created: an External group (those who scored above the NSLC scale mean) and an Internal group (those who scored below the mean). The Internal group showed no change from pre-test to post-test on the NSLC,  $t(38) = .07, p = .94$ . The External group, however, showed a significant change from pre-test ( $M = 13.3, SD = .5$ ) to post-test ( $M = 8.3, SD = 1.9$ ),  $t(5) = 7.3, p = .001$ , and External group's mean score was virtually identical to the Internal group's score post-program. The sample was similarly divided into three aggression groups (score variability permitted a larger number of groups): Low (T-scores of 44 and lower), Average (45-55), and High (56 and above). Results revealed that students in the High group showed a significant reduction in aggression from pre-test ( $M = 60, SD = 4.7$ ) to post-test ( $M = 56, SD = 7.4$ ),  $t(12) = 2.1, p = .06$ , whereas the students in the Average and Low groups showed no significant changes in aggressiveness from pre-test to post-test,  $ts(22, 8) = .20$  and  $-.85$  respectively,  $ps > .05$ .

*Conclusion*

Students who reported having higher than average aggressive tendencies upon entry to Eclipse reported reductions in their aggressiveness at program completion. Additionally, students who began the program with a tendency to attribute responsibility for personal experiences to external factors reported a shift toward taking more personal responsibility for their actions over the course of the Eclipse program. In contrast, students of average or low aggressiveness and students with an internal locus control at the beginning of Eclipse did not report any systematic pattern of change on these variables. These students appeared to be unaffected by Eclipse with respect to locus of control and aggression. We interpret this as a positive finding. It seems reasonable to assume that life circumstances sometimes require aggressive responses and external attributions, and the goal of programs like Eclipse should not be to eliminate these behaviours but to reduce them to appropriate levels. Additionally, these results should not be interpreted as meaning that Eclipse does not benefit non-aggressive youth. It is likely that these students benefited from Eclipse in ways that were not detected by our two instruments. The finding has led us to consider adding another instrument to our battery, which would permit us to capture a broader range of program outcomes. Additionally, school attendance and discipline referral data will provide valuable information on these important school-related outcomes. This data should become available to us for analyses in the very near future.

We remind readers that data on Eclipse are preliminary, and our findings must be interpreted with caution for several reasons. In the first place, the number of participants is small, and this reduces the generalizability of these findings to other groups of students. Clearly we need more data to verify these initial results and to identify the dimensions of Eclipse that contribute most to positive outcomes. Secondly, we did not use a control group, which raises the possibility that quantitative findings represent a regression-to-the-mean effect. We believe that this is not a strong possibility, though, since we observed this pattern of change in high-scoring groups but not low-scoring groups, as would be expected. Finally, as this evaluation is still in a pilot phase, we have made many small adjustments to our methods over the course of data collection. Although we do not believe that these adjustment markedly altered our results, this assumption can only be verified through more data collection.

## Evaluating the Eclipse Program: Qualitative Methods and Findings

David Pa , University of Ottawa

The qualitative component of our evaluation of the Eclipse program includes three primary data sets:

1. Individual exit interviews with student participants
2. Focus group discussions with program delivery personnel
3. Post-program phone interviews with parents

### Individual Exit Interviews with Student Participants

Individual, semi-structured exit interviews were conducted with all participants in the Eclipse program. The interview guide was altered part way into the project to accommodate for response patters (see Appendix A for interview formats). We found that the initial round of questions called on students to reflect on personal changes too abruptly in the interviews, and that the questions also presupposed an inordinately high level of familiarity with the instrumental objectives of the program in general. In other words, it is hard to answer “what is helpful” when one doesn’t have a clear idea of what in particular, “needs help”. This dilemma is related to the issue cited in the summary of the quantitative findings; our population was not a homogenous group clearly being targeted for violent and/or aggressive behaviour. The second set of questions therefore elicits student likes/dislikes regarding judo and group, rather than calling upon them to evaluate the efficacy of the program components.

Once Pa  and research assistant Gignac had developed a protocol for summarizing each interview and including transcribed students quotes, summary sheets were completed for all exit interviews. In analysing these interview data, we distilled participant comments into three response domains: 1. Judo 2. Group 3. Personal Changes. Gignac then engaged in inductive content analysis, coding student statements under these three domains and assigning descriptors to clusters of responses. Pa  subsequently examined all coded statements and descriptors, making corrections and modifications in conjunction with Gignac. Some statement descriptors were eliminated, others were added, and adjustments were made to the wording to more closely reflect the content of participant statements. Approximately 25 response descriptors were generated for each of the three response domains (see Appendix B).

Pa  and Gignac independently assigned each of these descriptors a valence of either positive (P) or negative (N). With respect to personal changes, positive responses were those gauged to reflect personally beneficial or pro-social changes, while negative responses were seen as speaking of personally detrimental or anti-social changes. Assignment of P and N valences was identical between the two independent raters. The third column in each of the three response domain charts in Appendix B indicates the number of respondents making comments under each response descriptor.

The subsequent step in data analysis involved the development of higher order response themes. Working in tandem, Pa  and Gignac distilled the many response descriptors into higher order themes, maintaining the positive/negative criterion. (See Appendix C for these distilled themes and their frequency.) Generally speaking, interviewees responded with short statements and did not elaborate at length on their answers. The relative brevity of the interviews (10 to 15 minutes) was a constraining factor. In addition, the students enrolled in the target schools, by definition, experience academic challenges and are not typically verbally oriented. For these

reasons, much of the statements recorded and tabulated are brief and relatively unadorned. In the section below, we provide a number of comments which are more developed than the average response from participants.

Positive responses to the Judo outnumbered negative comments by a ration of about three to one. The favourable comments centred on four themes:

1. Entertaining Diversion – Many respondents described their appreciation of the Judo as an activity that contrasted with the usual school routine, without actually pointing to a specific ‘benefit’ from the activity itself. Comments here centred on the ‘fun’ of flipping people (particularly school staff) and engaging in various Judo games.
2. Mastery/Skill Development – A number of respondents indicating they appreciated the opportunity to learn judo moves, above and beyond merely having fun engaging in the judo. Many expressed satisfaction at having attained a degree of proficiency in a skill.  
*“In judo, when I was fighting, I’m like ‘I don’t want to do that, it’s for guys’, but when I tried it, I’m like ‘Yeah, its good, I want to learn some moves.’ ...it said I had muscles, I could defend myself, I could defend someone that’s like in trouble and help them out.”*  
*“I liked learning from Nathalie the Judo moves, the techniques. It made me feel good.”*  
*“I liked the throwing part, I was very good at doing that.”*
3. Physical Benefits - Another cluster of remarks about the judo centred on its physical benefits: students said they liked being active; they felt more awake, or relaxed, and the judo gave them a healthy appetite.
4. Social Benefits – In some cases, judo was cited as a context for sharing an enjoyable experience with others, being surrounded by “happy faces”.

Negative responses to the judo centred on :

1. Disruptions/Consequences – It appears that, despite the focus on self-discipline and mutual respect, judo also provided a forum for some students to act out. A number of participants complained about disruptive behaviour by certain students, and of the time out (“moxo”) which was the consequence assigned for this behaviour.
2. Physicality/Intimidation – The judo intimidated some students, who complained about having, at times, to go up against larger or more aggressive opponents. Some participants complained about rug burns or the physical pain that accompanies being thrown. One student pointed to what they saw as an apparent contradiction between judo’s emphasis on self-discipline but its apparent focus on aggression.  
*“I don’t like getting hurt. They whacked me, it was embarrassing.”*  
*“Its conflicting--fighting and judo and learning self-discipline in the same hour...I’ve heard some kids, grade 6 boys, outside that have been using their judo moves against littler children, helpless children. And I think its really conflicting because self-discipline teaches you not to fight, judo teaches you to fight.”*
3. Miscellaneous – A small handful of other negative comments surfaced. These included a dislike for the judo uniforms, a complaint about being more tired as a result of the activity, the wish for a completion award, a distaste for the exercises, and the wish that there had been more time set aside for the judo.

Like judo, the groups were described in positive terms about three times as frequently as they were critiqued. Positive responses to the groups centred on:

1. Sharing Feelings/Experience – A large number of the favourable comments on the group process centred on the opportunity to share one’s experience and to hear the experience of



others. It is worth noting that this verbal sharing process was also targeted as a negative feature by another, smaller group of respondents.

*"I liked whenever he would bring up the topic and we would have to answer....like if he said 'what do you feel like when you want to fight?', he'd point to you and you had to like tell him ...you had a chance to talk to someone ..."*

*"It's nice to talk about things; its fun. Everyone had a chance to talk about the different stuff that they do and I liked listening to them...we talk about our problems and other people are giving advice..."*

*"It helped you figure out what your feelings are...hearing what other people have to say about themselves, being able to tell other people my feelings."*

2. Structured Activities – The structured activities varied in the groups—what links them is that they represent an alternative to the group verbal sharing. A number of participants spoke favourably of the role-plays. Other, rarer comments centred on the writing activities, skits, movies, and the treats, food and music.
3. (writing activities): *"Sometimes you can't say something but you can always write it down or something.."*
4. Developing Pro-Social Skills – A small number of respondents spoke favourably of the opportunity to develop social skills: learning to be more verbally assertive and socially respectful, learning about self talk.

Negative responses to the groups were varied; the one recognizable cluster of responses was centred on the same theme that dominated in the *positive* responses: the group sharing process. Other negative comments were difficult to group thematically and are listed as miscellaneous.

1. Group Sharing - Some students complained of having no choice about reflecting aloud or not; some said it was difficult to talk about issues. Others said there was too much talking, not enough interactive games, and that the topics were redundant after a while.  
*"I don't like sitting and talking about that stuff...I learned new words in there, like 'self-esteem', but that's not for me."*
2. Miscellaneous – As with the judo, there were a few miscellaneous comments that didn't exhibit any obvious pattern. These included complaints about too much paperwork, boredom with the process, and a dislike for role-playing.  
*"He gave us paper work that wasn't really necessary...like maybe to him it was but not to us... we all disagreed with it but he just kept on going on with it...what's that teaching us? It really wasn't teaching us anything."*

While favourable outnumbered unfavourable comments by about three to one for both the judo and the groups, responses regarding personal changes were even more imbalanced. Students were virtually unanimous in citing changes judged to be personally beneficial and pro-social (as opposed to personally detrimental and anti-social). These positively-oriented comments were distilled into four categories:

1. Increased Self Determination/ Composure – A number of students indicated the program had taught them to control their anger more effectively, that they had learned to make choices and exercise judgment, to be less reactive and more in control, and to attend better to thoughts prior to acting.  
*"I can control my anger better...every time someone got me mad I could control it...before I joined the Eclipse program I would get so angry I couldn't control...(now) I would, um, well, if someone called me a name, I'd say ok if that's what you think...that's your opinion but that's not what I think myself..."*

*"Whenever I feel like I am mad I just take a walk and calm down, talk to someone, like that...like I just count to ten and if that doesn't work just ask the teacher to leave the class, walk around the school..."*

2. Social Ease/Adeptness – A second cluster of responses about changes centred on improved social skills in a variety of domains: students indicated they were acting with more respect, listening better and trusting more, connecting with and appreciating people more, expressing feelings, being helpful at home and generally more cooperative, and acting with more social assertiveness and confidence.

*"I act better. If a person is feeling down I try to boost their confidence up."*

3. School – Comments related to changes at school included references to increased concentration, less trouble, an improvement in marks, and a more positive attitude about attending school.
4. Well Being – This higher order theme included comments related to improved disposition, reduction in feelings of anger, improved self-image, and an increase in physical activity.  
*"[I'm more] positive now, and it's better now at home school, camp, friends, different parents, mother and brothers have noticed... 'How are you doing this to yourself? You have improved; you were out of your mind last year. What did you eat?' They are surprised."*  
*"It helped me with my physical activity...in gym I'm more active now."*

A minority of students indicated they weren't aware of any changes in themselves during the duration of the program. Of the 49 students included in this data set, only one student indicated a change judged to be anti-social and personally detrimental. That student spoke of becoming more aggressive as a result of the judo training.

*"My friend used to just play box with me and I wouldn't really hit back hard but now he notices that I am more aggressive ... he's like you're going to hurt me ...and I'm like good its about time, so now we don't just fool around but we actually get into fights."*

*Discussion and Limitations.* Although limited in verbal richness, the exit interview data seem to clearly suggest that students found much that was attractive in both the judo and group components of the Eclipse program. Some of the appeal appears to simply be a function of the disruption of ordinary school routines; however many of the comments touched on components and features of the program deliberately focused on Eclipse's therapeutic and educational mandate. While the concerns expressed about the group work tend to be heterogeneous and do not suggest much in the way of adjustments, the comments on the judo exhibit more of a pattern. For a number of students, the judo was an intimidating experience, and this likely diminished the benefits they might have derived from it. It may be that some accommodations could be made to the delivery of the judo that would reduce these concerns; for instance students might be given more choice about the scope of the moves they engage in, and perhaps the size of their opponents. Of course it would be important that these adjustments be made in a manner that does not violate the spirit and tradition of judo itself, and it would be critical that judo consultants play a central role in modifying the program to accommodate student concerns.

The findings on perceived changes are particularly striking. While it is understood that students may have felt mandated to report on 'favourable' developments, they were not prompted in any way to do so. No doubt the program components—particularly the group—furnished them with new words for describing their experience, and this may have inspired the use of more jargon than they would have produced otherwise. Nevertheless, virtually all students interviewed, if they reported no changes, spoke of alterations in their behaviour and



moods that were judged to be personally beneficial and pro-social. While, as explained above, the population engaged in Eclipse over the period studied does not precisely match the profile of the aggressive student for whom the program was developed, many of the participants spoke of changes associated with reducing aggression and developing self control. It is of course difficult to gauge from their comments how perceptible the changes participants report are to an outside observer, their reflections alone suggest the development of a vocabulary that will serve the students as they continue to address issues related to self-regulation, social interaction, and so on.

A limitation to the data from the student exit interviews relates to verbal richness: the frequently terse responses do not furnish a rich and nuanced picture of their engagement with the program. A possibility currently being considered is to interview students in a focus group format so that they might “cross-fertilize” each other as they respond to questions. However, this approach could also be compromised by a degree of ‘groupthink’ that is an inevitable byproduct of group interviewing procedures.

#### Focus Group Discussions With Program Delivery Personnel

The researchers met with Youth Services Bureau, Judo Canada, and school personnel involved in delivery of the Eclipse program halfway into delivery of the program in the spring of 2001. We utilized a focus group format to explore various aspects of the program. Participants were asked to comment on both the positive features and the challenges of each of the two Eclipse components, the judo sessions and the small group work, and to reflect on the perceived overall impact of the program. What follows are some general findings based on the transcribed session and additional field notes.

*Judo.* It was widely agreed that the judo component was particularly effective. Students were seen to interact in largely pro-social ways within the highly structured framework of the judo sessions. Several participants commented that the judo promotes an atmosphere of mutual respect and self-control which are inherent features of the ancient tradition. The mat was seen as a place where the rules of regular life were suspended, competition was set aside (or at least tempered), and students adhered to the judo code. There was some talk of expanding the ritualized nature of the judo sessions into the group component of the program. However, it was found, especially with the younger group, that some students were wary of physical injury. It was also felt that the longer judo sessions (at OTLC) were more successful than those held in a shorter time frame. Finally, there was speculation about the importance of providing some ongoing judo involvement as a follow-up so that the skills and attitudes gained could be maintained.

*Groups.* Trust was seen to build in the groups over time. The program was seen as a potential link to additional YSB services—that is, by making a connection with YSB staff, it was felt some students might feel more free to pursue further services offered by the agency. The YSB group facilitators shared ideas with school personnel and modified the group delivery over time. Students appeared to favor experiential exercises that contrasted with didactic classroom activities. The ritualized order of the judo sessions did not carry over to the groups, and it was felt the groups would benefit from tighter structures and guidelines for behavior. The course material was less easily adapted for the younger students at St. Michael’s than for the older population at OTLC.

*Overall Program Impact.* The Eclipse program at OTLC has a high profile and positive reputation amongst staff and students. OTLC students ask when their rotation starts; students from other schools have expressed an interest in getting a similar program. OTLC teaching staff

not currently involved with the program have expressed an interest in being involved. The preliminary observation from the school staff involved in program delivery is that there has been a noticeable decrease in volatile behavior and inappropriate language at the school since the initiation of the program. St. Michael's staff also indicated the program has been markedly positive in its impact as well; they suggested it would also be beneficial for the girls there.

In terms of challenges faced, personnel involved in service delivery recommended a streamlining of paperwork and data gathering processes that, at the time, were somewhat duplicated between YSB and University of Ottawa stakeholders. There were also concerns that communication between the various stakeholders should be more regular. Since the time of this focus group, adjustments have been in these and other areas.

*Discussion and Limitations.* It is interesting to note that the service deliverers more uniformly perceived the judo as beneficial, despite that fact that a large number of students also referred to benefits derived from the group process. One reason for this may be that engagement with group processes is more clearly variable—from highly self-disclosing and involved to reticent and peripheral—whereas with the judo, participants are either “in” or “out” (moxo). It also appears that students were more overtly enthused about the judo, perhaps creating the impression that it was more successful. It is likely not ‘cool’ to be enthused about group sharing processes, and thus students may have appeared to benefitting from the group less than they later (privately) said they were.

A difficulty in gauging the impact of the program on students from the focus groups has to do with the investment in the program on the participants. The staff involved in Eclipse from the schools, YSB, and Judo Canada are all enthusiastic about the program and are probably more inclined to notice and report on positive developments for that reason. A useful additional measure, though one that presents considerable logistical challenges, would be the perspective of school personnel with less of a stake in Eclipse.

#### Post-Program Phone Interviews With Parents

Audiotaped phone interviews were completed with parents after their sons/daughters completed the program. Because phone interview data gathering is not yet complete, we will here present only some general preliminary findings. Two questions were posed:

1. Please describe what you know about the Eclipse Program?
2. Have you noticed any changes in your child since they started the program?

Of the parents contacted to date, a much higher percentage (more than four-fifths) indicated some knowledge of the judo than the group component (about a third). It is not clear if this is related to how the program was introduced to parents. It seems fair to assume that part of the reason for this is that the word “judo” tends to evoke a fairly singular image to most people, whereas “group” is an ambiguous word, and group counselling or psychoeducational processes are less familiar to the general public. In addition, when students speak to their parents about the program, talk of ‘flipping’ classmates on a mat likely stands out more than references to group discussions and role-plays, which might be construed as part of the regular school curriculum.

Just over two-thirds of the parents reached indicated they saw change of some sort in their children. As in the student's self reports, these changes were almost uniformly positive. One parent reported increased moodiness, but then volunteered this was likely more a reflection of adolescent hormonal changes than the program's impact. In addition, there were two comments about the child feeling more tired; but it wasn't clear if this was gauged to be a bad

thing. Positive comments about changes observed are displayed below as higher order themes with subcategories of responses. Because the interviews are still in process, we have elected not to include frequency data at this time.

1. Pro-social behaviour – more self control; calmer; fewer angry outbursts, less reactivity; transfer of learned positive attitudes to other contexts (eg. School work, home); more responsibility.
2. Personal development – more physically active; more autonomous, greater maturity; more optimistic; more enthusiasm; more mastery; increased self confidence; recognizing and expressing feelings more, better concentration.
3. Other – More health conscious; using judo skills elsewhere to avoid fighting.

*Discussion and Limitations.* It is perhaps not surprising that a number of parents showed limited familiarity with the Eclipse program; at the best of times in today's busy world, parents have difficulty monitoring their children's' school activities closely. Due to the various academic challenges faced by the student participants in the Eclipse program, they may be somewhat more likely to be aberrant in transporting materials and communicating information to their parents.

The data from parents is incomplete due to the unreliability of a phone interview protocol that is dependent on people being available during those periods when the researcher is gathering data. At this time the parents' view helps to paint a fuller picture of Eclipse, but is lacking in breadth. It also falls short of the depth offered by a face-to-face interview; however without significantly more person-power, such an interview format would prove unwieldy and might compromise, rather than improve, the scope of data gathered.

Appendix A  
Student Exit Interview Guides

Groups 1- 3

1. What, if anything, about you has changed as a result of the program?
2. What part of the program did you find most helpful?
3. What part of the program did you find least helpful?
4. What, if anything, would you change about the program?

Groups 4 – 6

1. What was it like to be in the Eclipse program?
2. If you had to share one experience from the Eclipse program that you had - good or not - what would that be?
3. What did you like/ dislike about the Judo part?
4. What did you like/ dislike about the Group part?
5. What changes in yourself because of Eclipse?
6. Would you make any changes to the program?

Appendix B  
Student Exit Interview Response Domains and Descriptors

## Judo

Response Descriptor	Valence	Frequency
1. Liked flipping people/kicking	P	9
2. being active	P	1
3. Learned self-defence	P	6
4. Makes me wake up/good for me	P	2
5. Liked the moves/techniques	P	6
6. Liked the way judo was taught	P	1
7. Able to do it/mastery	P	4
8. Did not like consequences (“moxo”)	N	5
9. Made me hungry	P	1
10. Liked judo games	P	3
11. Liked the exercises	P	2
12. Didn’t like fighting/getting hurt	N	2
13. Didn’t like uniforms	N	2
14. Didn’t like disruptive behaviour	N	2
16. Found judo fun	P	7
17. It was relaxing	P	2
18. Power imbalanced favoured opponents	N	1
19. Rug burns and physical pain	N	3
20. Insufficient time scheduled	N	4
21. Enjoyed social contact	P	3
22. Liked the fighting and competitions	P	5
23. Feel more tired as a result	N	1
24. Didn’t like exercises	N	1
25. Judo is oriented towards aggression	N	1

## Group

Response Descriptor	Valence	Frequency
1. Liked doing role-plays	P	8
2. Liked treats, food, music	P	6
3. Not enough interactive games	N	2
4. Liked check-ins	P	2
5. Liked talking about problems	P	5
6. Learning that others have problems	P	6
7. Didn’t like role-plays	N	3
8. Too much talking	N	1
9. Pace too quick	N	1
11. Topics redundant	N	3
12. Disliked paper work	N	4
13. Liked expressing feelings	P	5
14. learning to be assertive and respectful	P	2

15. Watching movies	P	3
16. Became bored/tired/hungry	N	2
17. Liked personal sharing	P	1
18. Liked participating in skits	P	4
20. Chance to talk and be heard	P	5
21. Difficult talking about things	N	2
22. Writing activities	P	1
23. Talking about anger	P	2
24. Anger management was too obvious	N	1

### Personal Changes

Response Descriptor	Valence	Frequency
1. Controlling anger/temper better	P	12
2. Learned to be respectful/supportive	P	2
3. Listens better now	P	1
5. Learning to make choices	P	3
6. Nothing has changed		3
7. Increased concentration	P	1
8. More connecting with/appreciating people	P	1
9. Feeling more positive/happy	P	1
10. Better judgment	P	6
11. Expressing/coping with feelings better	P	3
12. Less reactive, more self control	P	5
13. Getting in less trouble at school	P	4
14. Marks improving	P	1
15. More helpful at home	P	1
16. More self confident/assertive	P	3
17. Less angry	P	1
18. More cooperative	P	2
19. More aggressive	N	1
20. More reflective, attentive to thoughts	P	1
21. More positive about coming to school	P	1
22. More active	P	2
23. More positive about self	P	1

Appendix C  
Student Exit Interviews: Higher Order Response Themes

Judo: Positive

Higher Order Theme	Frequency	Higher Order Theme	Frequency
<u>Entertaining Diversion</u> Liked flipping people/kicking Liked Judo games Found judo fun	19	<u>Mastery/Skill Development</u> Learned self defence Liked moves/techniques The way it was taught Able to do it/mastery Liked fighting/competitions	22
<u>Physical Benefits</u> Being active Makes me wake up/good for me Liked exercises It was relaxing Made me hungry	8	<u>Social Benefits</u> Enjoyed social contact	3

Judo: Negative

Higher Order Theme	Frequency	Higher Order Theme	Frequency
<u>Disruptions/Consequences</u> Didn't like consequences ('moxo') Didn't like disruptive behaviour	7	<u>Physicality/Intimidation</u> Fighting/getting hurt Power favoured opponents Rug burns/physical pain Judo oriented towards aggression	7
<u>Miscellaneous</u> Didn't like uniforms Wanted a completion award Insufficient time scheduled Feel more tired as result Didn't like exercises	8		

Group: Positive

Higher Order Theme	Frequency	Higher Order Theme	Frequency
<u>Sharing Feelings/Experience</u> Liked check-ins Liked talking about problems Learning that others have problems Liked expressing feelings Liked personal sharing Chance to talk and be heard Talking about anger	26	<u>Structured Activities</u> Liked role-plays Liked writing activities Liked participating in skits Liked treats, food, music Watching movies	22
<u>Developing Pro-Social Skills</u> Learning to be assertive/respectful Learned about self-talk	3		

BEST COPY AVAILABLE



## Groups: Negative

Higher Order Theme	Frequency	Higher Order Theme	Frequency
<u>Group Sharing</u> Too much talking No choice about reflecting Not enough interactive games Topics redundant Difficult talking about things	8	<u>Miscellaneous</u> Pace too quick Didn't like role-plays Disliked paperwork Became bored/tired/hungry Anger management was too obvious	11

## Personal Changes: Positive

Higher Order Theme	Frequency	Higher Order Theme	Frequency
<u>Increased Self Determination/</u> <u>Composure</u> Controlling anger/temper better Learning to make choices Better judgment Less reactive/more self-control More reflective/attentive to thoughts	27	<u>Social Ease/Adeptness</u> More respectful/supportive Listens better now Trusts people more Connecting with/appreciating people Expressing/coping with feelings better More helpful at home More self confident/assertive More cooperative	13
<u>School</u> Increased concentration Getting in less trouble at school Marks improving More positive about coming to school	7	<u>Well-Being</u> Feel more positive/happy Less angry More active More positive about self	5

## Personal Changes: Negative and Neutral

Higher Order Theme	Frequency	Higher Order Theme	Frequency
<u>Aggression</u> More aggressive	1	<u>Absence of Impact (Neutral)</u> Nothing has changed	3

BEST COPY AVAILABLE



U.S. Department of Education  
Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)  
National Library of Education (NLE)  
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



## REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

### I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

Title: <u>ALTERNATIVES TO SCHOOL SUSPENSION: AN INTERVENTION FOR AT-RISK YOUTH</u>	
Author(s): <u>J. DAVID SMITH, DAVID PARÉ, + FRANCINE GRAVELLE</u>	
Corporate Source: <u>UNIVERSITY OF OTTAWA</u>	Publication Date: <u>APRIL, 2002</u>

### II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, *Resources in Education* (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following three options and sign at the bottom of the page.

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY  <u>Sample</u>  TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

1

Level 1



Check here for Level 1 release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic) and paper copy.

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2A documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE, AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY, HAS BEEN GRANTED BY  <u>Sample</u>  TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

2A

Level 2A



Check here for Level 2A release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche and in electronic media for ERIC archival collection subscribers only

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2B documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY  <u>Sample</u>  TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

2B

Level 2B



Check here for Level 2B release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche only

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits.  
If permission to reproduce is granted, but no box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries.			
Signature: <u>[Signature]</u>		Printed Name/Position/Title: <u>DAVID SMITH, ASSISTANT PROFESSOR</u>	
Organization/Address: <u>UNIVERSITY OF OTTAWA</u>		Telephone: <u>613-562-5800</u>	FAX: <u></u>
		E-Mail Address: <u>jsmith@uottawa.ca</u>	Date: <u>4/04/02</u>

Sign here, please →

FACULTY OF EDUCATION  
P.O. Box 450, STN. A  
OTTAWA, ON  
K1N 6N5  
CANADA

(over)

### III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

Publisher/Distributor:
Address:
Price:

### IV. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER:

If the right to grant this reproduction release is held by someone other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate name and address:

Name:
Address:

### V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:

Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse:

**ERIC CLEARINGHOUSE ON ASSESSMENT AND EVALUATION  
UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND  
1129 SHRIVER LAB  
COLLEGE PARK, MD 20742-5701  
ATTN: ACQUISITIONS**

However, if solicited by the ERIC Facility, or if making an unsolicited contribution to ERIC, return this form (and the document being contributed) to: